



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	. 1	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/659,481		09/11/2000	Donald Adams	Q00-1032-US1	Q00-1032-US1 5650	
32093	7590	11/17/2004		EXAMINER		
		T SERVICES	RODRIGUEZ, GLENDA P			
4525 GLEN BELLINGI		WS PLACE A 98226		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
	-,			2651		
•				DATE MAILED: 11/17/2004	1	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

• (Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/659,481	ADAMS ET AL.					
, larioty , louisi.	Examiner	Art Unit					
·	Glenda P. Rodriguez	2651					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.							
PERIOD FOP. REPLY [check either a) or b)]							
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).							
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.							
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:							
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) \square they raise the issue of new matter (see Note by	pelow);						
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or							
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ms.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).							
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does No	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which we	ere newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w	t(s) a)□ will not be entered or b ould be rejected is provided bel	o)∏ will be entered ow or appended.	and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected:							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. \square The drawing correction filed on is a) \square app	proved or b) ☐ disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	·					
10. Other:							
·							

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding the Arguments done by the Applicant in Paper dated 9/24/2004 with respect to Claims 1-10, 14-27, 29, 30, 35, 36, 50-89, the Examiner cannot concur because Ton-That does teach a group of coherent data segments (Fig. 5B, 508, feature data segments that are adjacently together. See also Col. 10, L. 34-68, Ton-That teaches in Element 504 wherein it possesses the features of phase and coherence within the servo segments, which in turn is also related to the manner the data segments are placed as well. Applicant also argues that the Ton-That does not include in a preamble portion one or more tracks. Examiner cannot concur with the Applicant because firstly, the Applicant does not distinctively disclose the length of the data track or segment in the Claim and secondly, the feture of the "preamble" is not discussed within the limitations of the Claim.

SINH TRAN PRIMARY EXAMINER